What is austerity?

KS3 KS4 Ages 11-18 ⏰ 3 min read

After the 2008 financial crisis, governments across Europe — including the UK — suddenly started talking about "difficult decisions" and "living within our means." Services were cut, wages were frozen, and the word **austerity** was everywhere. But what does it actually mean, and does it work?

The basic idea

Austerity is when a government decides to spend less money — usually because it has borrowed too much and needs to reduce its debt. It does this by cutting public services (like healthcare, schools, or welfare payments), increasing taxes, freezing public sector wages, or some combination of all three.

The reasoning sounds simple: if you've maxed out your credit card, you stop buying things you don't need until you've paid it off. Governments, the argument goes, should do the same.

Imagine a family that has been spending more than they earn for years and has built up a big credit card debt. They sit down and decide: no more eating out, no new clothes, no holidays. It's painful, but the idea is that in a year or two, the debt will be under control. Austerity is the government doing that — except on a national scale, affecting millions of people at once.

Why economists argue about it

Here's where it gets complicated. Unlike a household, a government isn't just a passive spender — what it does affects the whole economy. When the government cuts spending, people lose jobs and have less money to spend. That means businesses sell less. Which means the economy shrinks. Which means the government collects less tax. Which can make the debt problem *worse*, not better.

This is the big critique of austerity: cutting spending in a recession can trap a country in a downward spiral. The **International Monetary Fund** — which initially supported austerity policies — later admitted it had underestimated these effects.

The human cost

Austerity isn't an abstract economic debate — it has real effects on real people. In the UK, years of cuts after 2010 affected benefits, social care, local councils, and the NHS. Many studies found increases in poverty, food bank use, and waiting times for health services during this period.

Is any of it ever right?

Most economists agree that some fiscal discipline matters — governments can't borrow unlimited amounts forever. The disagreement is about *when* to do it. Cutting spending in the middle of a recession is very different from doing so during a period of strong growth. Timing, as with many things in economics, turns out to matter enormously.

Free for educational use